

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/620,243	07/15/2003	Hans Jacobsen	JACO0002	8919
7590 06/27/2006			EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICES OF RONALD M. ANDERSON			LE, HUNG CHARLIE	
Suite 507 600-108th Aver	nue N.E.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bellevue, WA 98004			3663	
		DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/620,243	JACOBSEN, HANS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hung C. Le	3663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ap	oril 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1 - 52 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 37,38,45 and 46 is/are 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1 - 52 are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	e withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) Objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	: 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	Δ <b>Π</b> 1.1 Δ	(DTO 440)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	•			

# **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments, see "Amendment", filed 04/19/2006, with respect to claims 1 - 52 have been fully considered. Upon further review of the claims, the examiner noted that there is a plurality of distinct inventions claimed by the applicant.

Therefore, a restriction and election of species is required.

Note: The following claims were cancelled by applicant: 37, 38, 45 & 46.

# Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U. S. C.
   121:
  - Claims 1 36, 47 52, drawn to a bending die for use in sheet metal forming, classified in class 72, subclass 389.1.
  - II. Claims 39 44, drawn to a method for forming sheet metal, classified in class 72, subclass 481.9.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,243

Art Unit: 3663

Page 3

- 3. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case: The apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially process, for example any non metal sheet. The process as claimed can be practice by another and materially different apparatus, such as these set forth in the related art section of the the specification.
- 4. Upon election of either one of the invention I or II above, the applicant is further required under 35 U. S. C. 121 to elect one of the following discloses species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claims is finally held to be allowed:
  - a) Embodiment as shown in Figures 4 6.
  - b) Embodiment as shown in Figs. 7A 8C.
  - c) Embodiment as shown in Figs. 9 13.

d) Embodiment as shown in Figs. 14A –14B.

- e) Embodiment as shown in Fig. 15A.
- f) Embodiment as shown in Fig. 15B.
- g) Embodiment as shown in Fig. 15C.
- h) Embodiment as shown in Fig. 16.
- i) Embodiment as shown in Fig. 17A.
- j) Embodiment as shown in Fig. 17B.
- k) Embodiment as shown in Fig. 17C.

The species are independent or distinct because they have mutually exclusive characteristics.

5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Art Unit: 3663

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species

Are not patentably distinct, <u>applicant should submit evidence or identify</u>

Such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be

Obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

# Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung C. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-8757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 07:30am - 05:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

Art Unit: 3663

examiner's supervisor, Jack W. Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HCL 06/19/06

> RICARDO J. PALABRICA PRIMARY EXAMINER